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Abstract

The effect of oral administration of raw camel milk (5 ml/kg b.wt.) on ethanol– and aspirin–induced gastric ulcers was tested in rats. Oral administration of camel milk in rats with ethanol–induced gastric ulcer, significantly (P < 0.05) reduced the number of long ulcers, average length of ulcers, ulcer index and the volume of gastric juice. The total protein was significantly (P < 0.05) increased and the pH of gastric juice was not significantly changed. The curative ratio was 70.70% in camel milk treated group compared to 45.12% in ranitidine-treated rats. Oral administration of camel milk in rats with aspirin–induced gastric ulcers exhibited, significantly (P < 0.05), same actions with curative ratio of 65.03% compared to 34.03% in ranitidine-treated rats. In conclusion a significant protective effect of camel milk against ethanol– and aspirin–induced gastric ulcers was reported in rats.

Introduction

Although there is a large number of agents that have been used as antiulcerogenics, most of these drugs produced several side effects including arrhythmias, impotence, gynaecomastia and haematopoeitic changes. In addition recurrence rates are high (Ariyoshi et al., 1986). Camel milk is different from other ruminants milk; having low cholesterol, low sugar, high minerals (sodium, potassium, iron, copper, zinc and magnesium), high vitamin C, B2, A and E, low protein and high concentrations of insulin (Rao et al., 1970). It has no allergic properties and it can be consumed by lactase deficient persons and those with week immune systems. The milk is considered to have medicinal applications and in Sahara, fresh butter is often used as a base for remedies. The products of milk are developed to also include cosmetics or pharmaceutics. A series of metabolic and autoimmune diseases are successfully treated with camel milk. In India, camel milk is used therapeutically against dropsy, jaundice, problems of the spleen, tuberculosis, asthma, anaemia, piles and diabetes (Knoess, 1979). Beneficial role of raw camel milk in chronic pulmonary tuberculosis has been observed (Mal et al., 2001). In repeated trials, it was noticed that there was a 30-35% reduction in the daily doses of insulin in type 1 diabetes patients receiving raw camel milk (Agrawal et al., 2002 and 2007; Mohamad et al., 2009). In human, camel milk has been shown to improve the clinical course of gastric ulcer with complete wound healing and remarkable decline of its size in 90% of patients, as well as pronounced antacid attributes (Sharmanov et al., 1981). Other therapeutic effects of camel milk have been reported such as improvement or normalization of the characteristics of blood clinical and biochemical analyses, an improvement of renal and hepatic functions (Sharmanov et al., 1982), antidiabetic effects (Agrawal et al., 2007; Mohamad et al., 2009) and hepatoprotective effect (Redwan and Tabll, 2007; Saltanat et al., 2009). Moreover, camel lactoferrin was the most active lactoferrin against E. coli 0157:H7 (Conesa, et al., 2008) and has a protective effect against hepatitis C virus (Redwan and Tabll, 2007). The aim of this work is to investigate the effect of camel milk on ethanol and aspirin experimentally induced gastric damage in rats.

Materials and methods

Ethanol induced gastric ulceration:

Animals:

Fifteen male Sprague-Dawley rats (150-200g b.wt.) were kept under standard conditions before their use. Animals were starved for 48 hr before use to ensure an empty stomach and were kept in cages with raised floors of wide wire mesh to prevent coprophagy (Garg et al., 1993). To prevent excessive dehydration during the fasting period, rats were supplied with sucrose (BDH) 8% (w/v) solution in NaCl (BDH) 0.2% (w/v) which was removed 1 hr before experiments (Glavin and Mikhaeil, 1976).

Rats were randomly divided into 3 equal groups (Table1). In the first day, Rats of group1 (positive control) were administered 2 doses of distilled water (5ml/kg) with 6 hrs intervals. Rats in group 2 received 2 doses of raw camel milk (5ml/kg) with 6 hrs intervals, while rats in the third group received 2 doses of ranitidine(100mg/kg orally) with the same time period. In the second day, control rats received 1 dose of distilled water (5ml/kg) and the second group was given a single dose of camel milk (5ml/kg), while rats of the third group received 1 dose of ranitidine. After
90 min 80% alcohol was given at a dose of 10 ml/kg to all rats. One hour after ethanol administration, all rats were euthanized by an over dose of chloroform and the abdomen was opened, the stomach was removed, opened along the greater curvature and gently rinsed under running water. Lesions in the glandular part of the stomach were measured under illuminated magnifying microscope (10x). Long lesions were counted and measured along the greater length. Petechial lesions were counted with the aid of a 1-mm squares grid (Ogle et al., 1985). Each five petechial lesions were taken as a 1 mm ulcer (Cho and Ogle, 1978). The sum of the total length of long ulcers and petechial lesions in each group of rats were divided by its number to calculate the ulcer index (mm). The curative ratio was determined by the following formula:

\[
\text{Curative ratio} = \frac{(\text{Control ulcer index} - \text{Test ulcer index})}{\text{Control ulcer index}} \times 100
\]

**Aspirin induced gastric ulceration**

**Animals:**

Fifteen male Sprague-Dawley rats (150-200g bwt.) were kept under standard conditions before their use. Rats were randomly allocated into 3 equal groups (Table1). The modified method of (Goel, et al., 1985) was used for the induction of experimental gastric ulceration. Rats in group 1 (positive control) were given distilled water and after 3 hrs aspirin (200 mg/kg) dissolved in 1% carboxymethylcellulose was given orally then after 3 hrs distilled water was given again. Instead of receiving distilled water, rats in group 2 and 3 were given, orally, camel milk (5ml/kg) and ranitidine (100 mg/kg ), respectively, in addition to aspirin. Treatments were continued for 3 days. On the fourth day, stomach was explored and the pylorus was ligated under ether anaesthesia. The abdomen was closed and the animals were left to recover, while drinking water was withheld. After 4 hrs rats were killed with an overdose of chloroform and the oesophagus was ligated then the stomach was removed. The gastric mucosa was washed with 3 ml of distilled water. The gastric juice and the washings were homogenized and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The volume of gastric juice was measured and expressed as ml/100g of body weight. The stomach was then cut along the great curvature and the glandular portion was examined under dissecting microscope. The number of ulcers was counted and the total length was measured to calculate the curative ratio as was mentioned above.

**Determination of protein content**

Total protein (g/dl) in the gastric juice was determined by the Biuret Reagents (Mehl, 1945) using commercial kits.

**Statistical analysis**

Differences between control and treated groups (Mean±SD) were tested for significance using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan’s multiple range test. Differences were considered of significance at a level of P < 0.05 using SPSS version 10 computer program.

**Results**

Oral administration of camel milk in rats with alcohol–induced gastric ulcers significantly (P < 0.05) reduced the number of long ulcers, average length of ulcers and ulcer index. The volume of gastric juice was also significantly (P < 0.05) reduced; however, the pH of gastric juice was not significantly changed. The total protein was slightly increased. Oral administration of ranitidine in rats with alcohol–induced gastric ulcers slightly reduced the total protein. The curative ratio was 70.70% in camel milk-treated group compared to 45.12% in ranitidine-treated rats (Table 2).

Oral administration of camel milk in rats with aspirin–induced gastric ulcers significantly (P < 0.05) reduced the total protein. The curative ratio was 65.03% in camel milk-treated group compared to 34.03% in ranitidine-treated one (Table 3).

**Discussions and conclusion**

The present data clearly demonstrate the protective effect of oral administration of camel milk against gastric damage induced experimentally by ethanol or salicylic acid (aspirin) in rats. Two models of gastric ulcers were used; acute gastric damage was induced by ethanol and delayed onset of gastric ulceration was
induced by the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent (NSAID); salicylic acid. Ethanol is one of the most widely used agents in experimental models for the evaluation of drugs antiulcerative activity in rats (Akhatar and Ahmad, 1995; Atta et al., 2005). The acute effect of ethanol has been proved to be due to protein precipitation of the cytoplasmatic components in the superficial cells of the gastric mucosa and release of the vasooactive mediators such as leukotrienes C4 (LTC4) and histamine (Jamal et al., 2006). The vasooactive mediators cause blood flow stasis in the microcirculation of the mucous membrane; an effect which may contribute to the increased lesions in this model (Konturek et al., 1988; Wallace, 2001). In addition, alcohol may also induce solubilization in the mucous of the stomach wall, increase the flow of sodium and potassium into the lumen, increase the pepsin release, and decrease the tissue levels of DNA, RNA and proteins, which predisposes the mucous membrane unprotected, thus leading to tissue injury (Robert et al., 1979). Moreover, ethanol has been proved to increases the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and free radicals (Gazzieri, 2007). In the results, the ironic and bizarre behavior of ranitidine in ethanol-treated group in which the total protein was reduced resulted from the interaction between this drug and alcohol that curtails protein synthesis in the mucosal membrane.

The use of non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs as a model for induction of experimental gastric damage has been widely practiced (Atta et al., 2005). Inhibition of endogenous prostaglandins renders the stomach to be more susceptible to damage. These eicosanoids inhibit acid secretion by the parietal cells and promote secretion of cytoprotective mucous in the stomach (Robert, 1981). The mechanism of aspirin-induced ulcerogenic effect is by the inhibition of endogenous prostaglandins synthesis (Whittle and Vane, 1983) which, consequently, decreases the cytoprotective action of prostaglandins. Anti-inflammatory drugs, such as indomethacin, injure the gastric mucous membrane through the inhibition of the gastric cyclooxygenase (COX) enzyme, resulting in the cessation of prostaglandins production (Wallace, et al., 2000). Prostaglandins, such as E2 and prostacyclins, act on the synthesis of mucus and bicarbonate, the regulation of acid secretion and the blood flow in the gastric mucous membrane; hence their inhibition critically compromises the gastric cytoprotection (Whittle et al., 1985). The increased production of these prostanoids provides greater resistance of gastric mucosal membrane against several agents, such as anti-inflammatory drugs (Wallace, 2001; Arun and Asha, 2008; Nguelfack et al., 2008). Camel milk have been proved to have more pronounced antacid properties (Sharmanov et al., 1981). Nonetheless, it has been reported that camel milk contains high levels of vitamins C, A, B2 and E and is very rich in magnesium and zinc (Rahman et al., 2005). These vitamins are antioxidants that found to be useful in reducing the oxidative stress caused by toxic agent (Sajitha et al., 2010; Traber and Stevens, 2011). Magnesium is very essential for biosynthesis of glutathione; since the enzyme glutathione synthetase requires L-glutamyl cysteine, glycine, ATP and magnesium ions (Wilson, et al., 1971). Magnesium deficiency has been associated with the production of reactive oxygen species and magnesium supplementation protects cells against oxycladical damage and assists in the absorption and metabolism of vitamins, B, C and E (Martin et al., 2003). Vitamin E has been suggested to enhances glutathione levels and may play a major protective role in magnesium deficiency-induced cardiac lesions (Barbagallo, 1999).

Another interpretation of the protective effect of camel milk reported in the present investigation might be due to the fact that it is rich in zinc (Rahman et al., 2005). Zinc is a trace element essential for the activity of many enzymes in the living organisms. A protective effect of zinc has been reported against the cellular toxicity induced by cadmium. This action probably due to palliative effect on oxidative stress and apoptosis and to decreased lipid peroxides (Goering, and Klaassen, 1984; Jemai et al., 2007; Jihen et al., 2011). It also plays an important role in the DNA replication, transcription and protein synthesis, influencing cell division and differentiation (Frederickson, 1989). Moreover, zinc deficiency increases lipid peroxidation in various rat tissues, whereas supplementation corrects the impairment (Shaheen, and El-Fattah, 1995). It has been reported that zinc can prevent cell damage through activation of the antioxidant system (Sato and Bremner, 1993; Powell, 2000; Ozturk, et al., 2003). The antiperoxide drugs have been reported to possess a gastroprotective effect against ethanol–induced gastric damage (Mizui and Hirose, 1987). One more explanation is that it has been reported that camel milk can generate nitric oxide (Hashad, et al., 2006) similar to endogenous breastmilk (Stevens et al., 2000) through its content of xanthine oxidase. The nitric oxide is well recognized as a fundamental mediator in the gastric defense...
mechanisms because it stimulates mucus production, inhibits the adherence of neutrophiles to the endothelial cells and, especially, increases the blood flow to the gastric mucous membrane (Coruzzi et al., 2000; Olinda et al., 2008).

In conclusion, administration of camel milk can protect gastric mucosa against ethanol or salicylic acid induced gastric damage. In spite of the presented results, the exact mechanism of gastroprotective requires further investigation. One can explore camel milk protection against gastric bacterial infection (Helicobacter pylori) and future analysis is needed to evaluate its content and sequence of amino acids and lipoproteins.
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Experimental Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 Rats (Ethanol-Induced Ulcer)</td>
<td>15 Rats (Aspirin-Induced Ulcer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>D.W (2doses)</td>
<td>C.M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>D.W (1dose)</td>
<td>C.M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>80% Alcohol (10 ml/kg)</td>
<td>3 hrs D.W C.M Ran.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td>Euthanasia</td>
<td>Continuous Treatments for 3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>Pylorus Ligation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 hrs</td>
<td>Stomach Removal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.W = Distilled water 5 ml/kg
C.M = Camel Milk 5 ml/kg
Ran. = Ranitidine 100 mg/kg
Asp = Aspirin 200 mg/kg suspended in 1% Carboxymethylcellulose
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Long Ulcers</th>
<th>Length of Ulcer (mm)</th>
<th>Ulcer Index</th>
<th>Curative Ratio (%)</th>
<th>Volume of Gastric Juice (ml/100g)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Total Protein g/dl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td>4.50±1.11b</td>
<td>4.24±0.88b</td>
<td>1.02±0.4b</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.61±0.25b</td>
<td>7.40±0.55a</td>
<td>14.67±4.27a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Camel Milk (5ml/kg)</strong></td>
<td>1.8 ±0.27a</td>
<td>1.26±0.59a</td>
<td>0.32±0.2a</td>
<td>70.70</td>
<td>1.15±0.27a</td>
<td>6.60±0.55a</td>
<td>17.80±4.6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ranitidine (100mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td>4.60±1.14b</td>
<td>5.26±0.27b</td>
<td>1.36±0.4b</td>
<td>45.12</td>
<td>1.89±0.39b</td>
<td>7.0±0.71a</td>
<td>11.97±2.83a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different letters in the same column are significant at P<0.05
Illustration 3

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Protein g/dl</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Volume of gastric juice ml/100 g</th>
<th>Curative Ratio (%)</th>
<th>Ulcer Index</th>
<th>Number of Long Ulcers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.64±1.43&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.75±0.96&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.24 ±0.33&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.43±0.67&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.4 ±1.14&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.27±2.94&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.6±1.34&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.87 ±0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65.03</td>
<td>1.2 ±0.51&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.6 ±0.55&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.29±3.36&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.8 ±1.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.04±0.11&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34.03</td>
<td>2.26±0.49&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.8 ±0.44&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different letters in the same column are significant at P<0.05
Illustration 4

Figure 1

Stomach Ulcerations:

(A): Stomach of rat treated with salicylic acid alone showing severe damage and marked long ulceration of the gastric mucosa; (B): salicylic acid + camel milk showing slight congestion in the gastric mucosa; and (C): salicylic acid + ranitidine showing moderate damage with long ulceration.
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