



Water or Wipe: Implications for Human Papilloma Virus Associated Cancers?

Peer review status:

No

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Deepak Gupta,
Anesthesiologist, Self, 110032 - India

Submitting Author:

Dr. Deepak Gupta,
Anesthesiologist, Wayne State University, 48201 - United States of America

Article ID: WMC005266

Article Type: My opinion

Submitted on: 31-Mar-2017, 10:13:39 PM GMT **Published on:** 03-Apr-2017, 08:46:01 AM GMT

Article URL: http://www.webmedcentral.com/article_view/5266

Subject Categories: CANCER

Keywords: WATER; HUMAN PAPILOMA VIRUS; CANCER; INDIA; JAPAN; SPAIN; SINGAPORE; ISRAEL; ITALY

How to cite the article: Gupta D. Water or Wipe: Implications for Human Papilloma Virus Associated Cancers?. WebmedCentral CANCER 2017;8(4):WMC005266

Copyright: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC-BY\)](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Source(s) of Funding:

NONE

Competing Interests:

NONE

Water or Wipe: Implications for Human Papilloma Virus Associated Cancers?

Author(s): Gupta D

Abstract

It's commendable statistic that was deciphered by Islami et al (2016). It is interesting question raised by them whether the trends of receptive anal intercourse may explain the trends towards anal cancer incidence among various countries. However, they could have also reflected in their discussions whether plain water vs. dry wipe role in anal hygiene could potentially contribute to the trends in anal cancer because it would be worth a while to investigate whether post-defecation water use with associated anogenital hygiene variability in itself can act as an independent shield in our battle against anogenital cancer.

My Opinion

It's commendable statistic that was deciphered by Islami et al (2016) [1]. It is interesting question raised by them whether the trends of receptive anal intercourse may explain the trends towards anal cancer incidence among various countries. However, they could have also reflected in their discussions whether plain water vs. dry wipe [2-6] role in anal hygiene could potentially contribute to the trends in anal cancer. Henceforth, I am raising those questions that may need to be pondered into by the scientific community.

Human papilloma virus (HPV) is known to be a common causative agent for anal cancer [7]. Among the all HPV types, HPV-16 is the commonest type as underlying HPV-related causation for genital cancer (cervical and penile cancer) and hence correspondingly to anal cancer (assuming the receptive anal intercourse being the vehicle). Contrastingly, in spite of being related to skin warts and skin cancer, HPV-47 has NOT been associated with anal cancer despite being most abundantly identified in the human feces [8-9]. To minimize exposures sustained during sexual contact, post-coital hygiene [10] includes immediate cleansing with water [11] primarily; therefore, correspondingly post-defecation hygiene may be rated as better achieved with water as compared to paper. However, when HPV has been shown to be resistant to common

disinfectants [12], there can be a question about what role (if any) water cleansing can play. Hereafter comes the question whether the persistent fecal matter (depending on the post-defecation practices achieving variable adequacy of anogenital hygiene) contributes to persistent survivability [13] of anogenital HPV (HPV-16 in genital secretions and/or HPV-47 in fecal excretions; and subsequent potential risk for non-sexual HPV transmission through fomites [14] despite the absence of documented cases for the same) that may be acting as a potential risk factor for deciphered relative differences in the anal cancer incidence across the world.

From Islami et al (2016) [1] data, it is interesting to review the countries' list wherein little change in anal cancer incidence happened as compared to the increasing incidence in other countries. India and Japan has been known to consistently use water for post-defecation hygiene irrespective of the poor sanitation conditions in India [15] being different than the sophisticated Japanese toilets being often equipped with vertical jet based bidets [16]. Similarly, the Spanish toilets are known to be equipped with bidets; the Singaporean population has cleanliness ingrained into their psyche-practices as per their city-state laws (and hefty penalties) [17]; and despite their miraculous needs for water conservation [18], the drought-ridden Israelites must have comprehensive resolve towards personal hygiene beside the almost-universal practice of male circumcision, that is now known to have HPV-protective potential [19]. Alternatively, the increasing incidence of anal cancer among the bidet-loving Italian population [20] may itself weaken the argument about preferring water (over wipe) for anogenital hygiene (with potentially decreased risk of anal cancer). Overall, it can be true that, irrespective of water-or-wipe, the increasing incidence among the reviewed populations may be primarily related to potentially increasing trends towards receptive anal intercourse; however, it may be interesting to ponder whether, in the backdrop of variable post-defecation hygiene with wipe (as compared to water), the anogenital hygiene status in itself can aggravate the receptive anal intercourse practicing populations' risk towards anal cancer.

In summary, it would be worth a while to investigate if post-defecation water use itself can act as an

independent shield in our battle against cancer (in this particular case against the anogenital cancer).

Re

1. Islami F, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Bray F, Jemal A. International trends in anal cancer incidence rates. *Int J Epidemiol* 2016 Oct 27.
2. Ogai K, Matsumoto M, Aoki M, et al. Wash or wipe? A comparative study of skin physiological changes between water washing and wiping after skin cleaning. *Skin Res Technol* 2017 Mar 14.
3. Groven FM, Zwakhalen SM, Odekerken-Schröder G, Joosten EJ, Hamers JP. How does washing without water perform compared to the traditional bed bath: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr* 2017; 17:31.
4. Schoonhoven L, van Gaal BG, Teerenstra S, Adang E, van der Vleuten C, van Achterberg T. Cost-consequence analysis of "washing without water" for nursing home residents: a cluster randomized trial. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2015; 52:112-120.
5. Nerandzic MM, Rackaityte E, Jury LA, Eckart K, Donskey CJ. Novel strategies for enhanced removal of persistent *Bacillus anthracis* surrogates and *Clostridium difficile* spores from skin. *PLoS One* 2013; 8:e68706.
6. Voegeli D. The effect of washing and drying practices on skin barrier function. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs* 2008; 35:84-90.
7. Cancer.org What are the risk factors for anal cancer? <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/anal-cancer/causes-risks-prevention/risk-factors.html> Last accessed on March 31, 2017.
8. Ma Y, Madupu R, Karaoz U, et al. Human papillomavirus community in healthy persons, defined by metagenomics analysis of human microbiome project shotgun sequencing data sets. *J Virol* 2014; 88:4786-4797.
9. Adachi A, Kiyono T, Hayashi Y, Ohashi M, Ishibashi M. Detection of human papillomavirus (HPV) type 47 DNA in malignant lesions from epidermodysplasia verruciformis by protocols for precise typing of related HPV DNAs. *J Clin Microbiol* 1996; 34:369-375.
10. Gafos M, Pool R, Mzimela MA, Ndlovu HB, McCormack S, Elford J; MDP team.. The implications of post-coital intravaginal cleansing for the introduction of vaginal microbicides in South Africa. *AIDS Behav* 2014; 18:297-310.
11. EverydayHealth.com Living well with HPV <http://www.everydayhealth.com/sexual-health/living-well-with-hpv.aspx> Last accessed on March 31, 2017.
12. Meyers J, Ryndock E, Conway MJ, Meyers C, Robison R. Susceptibility of high-risk human papillomavirus type 16 to clinical disinfectants. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014; 69:1546-50.
13. UNC.edu Virus survival in the environment with special attention to survival in sewage droplets and other environmental media of fecal or respiratory origin https://www.unc.edu/courses/2008spring/envr/421/001/WHO_VirusSurvivalReport_21Aug2003.pdf Last accessed on March 31, 2017.
14. Roden RB, Lowy DR, Schiller JT. Papillomavirus is resistant to desiccation. *J Infect Dis* 1997; 176:1076-1079.
15. WorldWatch.org Matters of scale - Into the toilet <http://www.worldwatch.org/node/5142> Last accessed on March 31, 2017.
16. Wikipedia.org Bidet <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bidet> Last accessed on March 31, 2017.
17. TodayIFoundOut.com The legendary toilets of Singapore and the flushing law <http://www.todayifoundout.com/index.php/2015/06/legendary-toilets-singapore-flushing-law/> Last accessed on March 31, 2017.
18. HAARETZ.com The secret of Israel's water miracle and how it can help a thirsty world <http://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/science/1.698275> Last accessed on March 31, 2017.
19. NIH.gov Male circumcision reduces risk of genital herpes and HPV infection, but not syphilis <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/male-circumcision-reduces-risk-genital-herpes-hpv-infection-not-syphilis> Last accessed on March 31, 2017.
20. K-WN.com Restrooms in Italy <http://en.k-wn.com/area1/italy-toilet-situation/> Last accessed on March 31, 2017.