



Is Adversity Creating An Opportunity?

Peer review status:

No

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Deepak Gupta,
Anesthesiologist, Wayne State University, 48201 - United States of America

Submitting Author:

Dr. Deepak Gupta,
Anesthesiologist, Wayne State University, 48201 - United States of America

Article ID: WMC005667

Article Type: My opinion

Submitted on: 09-Nov-2020, 10:54:00 AM GMT **Published on:** 03-Dec-2020, 07:29:29 AM GMT

Article URL: http://www.webmedcentral.com/article_view/5667

Subject Categories: ANAESTHESIA

Keywords: Labor Epidural, Autism, Anesthesiology, Pediatrics, Obstetrics

How to cite the article: Gupta D. Is Adversity Creating An Opportunity?. WebmedCentral ANAESTHESIA 2020;11(12):WMC005667

Copyright: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC-BY\)](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Source(s) of Funding:

NOT APPLICABLE

Competing Interests:

NOT APPLICABLE

Is Adversity Creating An Opportunity?

Author(s): Gupta D

My opinion

Rather than being my opinion, the below-mentioned are just my questions.

Are undesirable effects of labor epidural analgesia essentially due to it exposing materno-fetal homeostasis to exogenous substances during intra-partum period [1]?

While the multidisciplinary medical society gears up to initiate research to validate or refute findings of Qiu et al [1-2], should anesthesiologists and anesthesia providers in the interim consider minimizing materno-fetal exposure to labor epidural analgesic solutions? Â Â

Can this be achieved by diluting the concentrations of labor epidural analgesic solutions as well as lowering the cumulative dosages administered during the intra-partum periods?

Can this be achieved by early replacements of non-functioning labor epidurals to overcome questionably-functioning labor epidurals which may be depending on questionably-functioning boluses?

Can this be achieved by placing labor epidurals later rather than sooner in the intra-partum periods?

Can this be achieved by facilitating conversion to cesarean section sooner rather than later after labor has failed to progress?

Can this be achieved by potentially revisiting and reexploring the utilization of intrathecal catheters if they can allow the use of ultra-low concentrations of intrathecal analgesic solutions when prolonged labor is warranted to avoid cesarean section related long-term effects on babies [3]?

Should Qiu et al. be appreciated for asking the question so that anesthesiologists and anesthesia providers can preemptively act and react on the concerns raised by Qiu et al. while awaiting validation or refutation of concerns raised by Qiu et al. [1]?

Although Qiu et al. disclosed it as their study limitation [1], would it still have been better if they had further delved into standard local anesthetic concentrations in labor epidural analgesic solutions used at various medical centers of delivery during various periods of birth years because standard local anesthetic concentration could then have been used as a covariate to decipher local anesthetic

concentrationâ€™s confounding effect on their results?

Should Qiu et al. have explored early breastfeeding vs. delayed breastfeeding vs. bottle-feeding as a covariate to elicit if epidurally administered medicationsâ€™ clearance from breast-milk and their half-lives confounded their results [1, 4]?

Should the society cautiously remember that diseases of pediatric brain and adolescent mind have evolved from being completely ignored to being overzealously over-diagnosed [5]?

Should the unsubstantiated claims about over-diagnosed childhood diseases neither lead to undertreated laboring patients nor result in iatrogenic imbalance of materno-fetal homeostasis?

In the absence of better alternative for labor analgesia, should labor epidurals themselves become better with lowering of epidural medicationsâ€™ concentrations and their cumulative dosages after laboring patients have been well-informed and their expectations well-attuned to the changes in their labor epidurals as induced by Qiu et al [1]?

Again, rather than being my opinion, the above-mentioned are just my questions.

Reference(s)

1. Qiu C, Lin JC, Shi JM, et al. Association Between Epidural Analgesia During Labor and Risk of Autism Spectrum Disorders in Offspring [published online October 12, 2020]. *JAMA Pediatr*. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.3231>
2. American Society of Anesthesiologists. Labor Epidurals Do Not Cause Autism; Safe for Mothers and Infants, Say Anesthesiology, Obstetrics, and Pediatric Medical Societies: Joint Statement of the Society for Obstetric Anesthesia and Perinatology, American Society of Anesthesiologists, Society for Pediatric Anesthesia, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine. <https://www.asahq.org/about-asa/newsroom/news-releases/2020/10/labor-epidurals-and-autism-joint-statement>.
3. Zhang T, Sidorchuk A, Sevilla-Cermeño L, et al. Association of Cesarean Delivery With Risk of Neurodevelopmental and Psychiatric Disorders in the Offspring: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(8):e1910236. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.10236>
4. Lee AI, McCarthy RJ, Toledo P, Jones MJ, White N,

- Wong CA. Epidural Labor Analgesia-Fentanyl Dose and Breastfeeding Success: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2017;127(4):614-624.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000001793>
5. Schwarz A. ADHD Nation: Children, Doctors, Big Pharma, and the Making of an American Epidemic. New York, NY: Scribner; 2016.
<https://www.amazon.com/ADHD-Nation-Children-American-Epidemic/dp/1501105914>