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Abstract

The study describes the antibacterial and antifungal effects of both CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and MeOH crude extracts, collected fractions and pure isolates of Conyza floribunda. The antimicrobial activity tests were carried out using agar diffusion method. In vitro tests using CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and MeOH extracts of C. floribunda showed anti-bacterial activities against Streptococcus pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus and Escherischia coli, and antifungal activities against Candida albicans, Trichophyton mentagrophytes and Microsporum gypsium. The antibacterial and antifungal principles from C. floribunda were found to be (24S)-ethylcholesta-5, 22E, 25-dien-3-O-b-glucoside and cyasterone from methanol extract, and 3-oxofriedooleanane and betulinic acid associated with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ extract. The results of the present study indicate that the plant could be a useful remedy for some of the disease conditions caused by the tested bacteria and fungi and the isolated compounds could be good.

Introduction

The genus Conyza (Asteraceae) comprises of about fifty species, which are mainly found in tropical and subtropical regions [1, 2]. In Kenya, the genus is represented by twenty four species, distributed country wide and one such species is Conyza floribunda. The plant grows up to 3 m tall when fully mature and is common in wet regions along the road sides, gardens and in disturbed soils within altitudes of 400-2000m above sea level [3]. It is traditionally used for a variety of pharmaceutical applications including treatment of smallpox, chickenpox, soar throat, ringworm and other skin related diseases, toothache and to stop bleeding from injuries [4]. Previous phytochemical studies on the plant are scarce. However, studies on other related species have lead to the isolation of secondary metabolites, some of which have been reported to exhibit biological activities including antiinflammatory [5-7], antitumor [8, 9] and antioxidants [10, 11]. In the present study, we report the bioassay guided fractionation of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and MeOH extracts of the whole plant using agar well diffusion method.

Material and Methods

Instrumental analysis

The UV spectra were run on PYE UNICAM SP8-150 UV/Vis spectrophotometer. IR data were obtained on Perkins-Elmer 600 FTIR series using acetonitrile and KBr pellet. The NMR data were measured in CDCl$_3$ and CDCl$_3$-DMSO-d$_6$ on a Brucker NMR Ultrashied TM operating at 500 and 125 MHz, respectively. The MS data were obtained on a MAT 8200 A Varian Bremen instrument.

Plant material

Authenticated Conyza floribunda whole plant was collected at Maseno University Botanic garden in June 2005 and a voucher specimen deposited at the National Museum of Kenya (Voucher deposit number: 2005/06/01/SAO/CHEMMK). The whole plant was air-dried in the open and reduced to a powder using a Wiley mill.

Preparation of plant extracts

Dry powdered plant material (2 kg) was sequentially extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 L) and MeOH (3 L) by percolation for one week each time, with occasional shaking, thereafter filtered and then concentrated in vacuo to afford 65 g and 105 g of extracts, respectively.

Isolation and identification of compounds from CH$_2$Cl$_2$ extract

Approximately 60 g of the extract was dissolved in small amount of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and adsorbed onto silica gel for column chromatography. Fractionation of the extract using gradient of n-hexane-ethyl acetate and MeOH afforded 300 fractions (20ml each) whose composition were monitored by TLC using solvent systems n-hexane-EtOAc (9:1; 4:1; 2:1) and CH$_2$Cl$_2$-MeOH (9:1 and 4:1), respectively. Fractions showing similar TLC profiles were combined resulting into four pools (I-IV).

Pool I (fractions 1-90, 7 g) contained mainly fatty acid and waxes and was discarded. Fractions 91-170 constituted pool II (3 L) and MeOH (3 L) by percolation for one week each time, with occasional shaking, thereafter filtered and then concentrated in vacuo to afford 65 g and 105 g of extracts, respectively.

Pool III (fractions 171-250, 10 g) upon repeated fractionation using n-hexane-ethyl acetate (4:1 and 3:1) yielded...
spinasta-7, 22-dien-3-ol (6, 75 mg), 3-oxofriedooleanane (7, 55 mg) and 3-hydroxfriedooleanane (8, 165 mg) [13]. Pool IV (6.5 g) gave stigmasta-5, 22-dien-3-ol (5, 100 mg) and betulinic acid (10, 85 mg) [12].

**Isolation and identification of MeOH extract constituents**

The extract (75 g) was pre-adsorbed onto silica gel and chromatographed with CH2Cl2-MeOH gradient to pure MeOH affording 120 fractions of 50 ml each. The composition of the fractions were monitored by TLC using CH2Cl2-MeOH (4:1, 3:2 and 1:1) and those that exhibited similar TLC profiles were combined to constitute two major pools (V and VI). Fractions 10-50 (pool V, 12 g) was further purified by chromatography using CH2Cl2-MeOH (9:1) followed by the same solvent system in the ratio 4:1 to give kaempferol (14, 85 mg), cyasterone (3, 78 mg), quercetin (12, 105 mg), myricetin (13, 55mg), 24-ethylcholesta-5, 22E, 25-triene 3-O-β-rhamnopyranoside (1) (tr=39 min, 93 mg) [13].

Pool IV (6.5 g) was further purified by preparative HPLC using acetonitrile-H2O (35:65): mobile flow rate 10mLmin-1; and were purified by preparative HPLC using acetonitrile-H2O (35:65): mobile flow rate 10mLmin-1; injecting 10μl each time to afford pure 24-ethylcholesta-5, 22E, 25-triene 3-O-β-glucoside (1'') (tr=39 min, 93 mg) [13].

**Antimicrobial assay**

**Test microorganisms**

Three bacteria and three fungi, all locally isolated microorganisms (LIO) were obtained from New Nyanza General Hospital in Kisumu, Kenya. The bacterial pathogens were Streptococcus pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus (Gram positive) and Escherichia coli (Gram negative) while the fungal pathogens were Candida albicans (yeast fungus), Trichophyton mentagrophytes and Microsporium gypseum (filamentous fungi). The microorganisms were chosen on the basis of ethnobotanical information available on the plant.

**Antibacterial screening**

Antibacterial activity of crude extracts and pooled fractions was done using agar well diffusion method [17, 18]. The bacterial isolates were first grown on a nutrient broth (Oxoid) for 24 h before use. The inoculum suspensions were standardized to 107-108CFU/ml. Two hundred microliter of the standard cell suspensions was spread uniformly using a sterile glass spreader on a nutrient agar (Oxoid). Wells were then bored into the agar using a sterile 6 mm diameter cork borer. Approximately 100 μl of crude extracts (at 500 μg/ml) and pooled fractions at concentrations of 200 μg/ml were separately introduced into the wells in the culture plates previously seeded with the test organisms, allowed to stand at room temperature for about one hour and then incubated at 37 0C for 24 h. Controls were set up in parallel using dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) that was used to reconstitute the extracts. The plates were observed for zones of inhibition after 48 h. The effects of the extracts and pooled fractions were compared with those of chlorphenicol, ofloxacin and streptomycin at a concentration of 10μg/ml each.

**Antifungal screening**

The antifungal tests were done according to the known methods [19]. The fungal isolates were allowed to grow on a Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) (Oxoid) at 250C until they sporulated. The fungal spores were standardized before use and one hundred microliter of the standardized fungal suspension was evenly spread on the SDA (oxoid) using a glass spreader. Wells were then bored into the agar media using a sterile 6mm cork borer and filled with solutions of crude extracts and pooled fractions at concentrations of 500 and 200 μg/ml, respectively. The plates were allowed to stand for 1 h for proper diffusion of the extracts and pooled fractions into the media. The plates were incubated at 25 0C for 72 h and later observed for zones of inhibition. Controls were set up in parallel using DMSO. The effect of the extracts on the fungal isolates was compared with fluconazole, cinamizole and amphotericin B at a concentration of 10 μg/ml each.

**Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)**

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of pure isolates was determined using standard procedures [20, 21], whereby the isolates were dissolved in DMSO and different concentrations ranging between 1000-1 μg/ml were prepared. The MIC was taken as the lowest concentration that prevented the growth of the test microorganism. The antifungal and antibacterial activities were done in four replicates (n=4).

**Results**

**Phytochemical studies**

Chromatographic fractionation of CH2Cl2 and MeOH extracts from C. floribunda whole plant afforded 24-ethylcholesta-5, 22E, 25-triene 3-O-β-glucoside (1'') (tr=39 min, 93 mg) , 24-ethylcholesta-5, 22E, 25-triene 3-O-β-glucoside (2), cyasterone (3), stigmasta-5, 22-dien-3-acetate (4), stigmasta-5, 22-dien-3-ol (5), spinasta-7, 22-dien-3-ol (6), 3-oxofriedooleanane (7), 3-hydroxfriedooleanane (8), 3-acetoxyfriedooleanane (9), betulinic acid (10),...
Antibacterial and antifungal activities of extracts, fractions and pure compounds

Dichloromethane and MeOH extracts showed activities against all the three bacteria tested in the study (Table 1). The MeOH extract strongly inhibited the growth of S. pneumoniae (16±0.3) and S. aureus (16±0.4) while E. coli (12±0.5) was moderately inhibited. The MeOH extract was found to be more active in this respect than the CH2Cl2. Similarly, in the antifungal tests, methanol extract exhibited stronger activities against C. albicans (19±0.1) and T. mentagrophytes (16±1.1) than the dichloromethane extract (Table 2). The MeOH extract also showed fairly moderate activity against M. gypseum (14±0.3). It can also be noted that the bacteria and fungi tested were relatively more susceptible to MeOH extract than CH2Cl2.

Fractionation of dichloromethane extract as previously discussed in the experimental section gave four pools (I-IV) which were bioassayed. With the exception of pool I, the remaining three pools displayed moderate and weak activities against bacteria tested (Table 3). Similarly, the methanolic extract upon chromatography with CH2Cl2:MeOH gradient to pure MeOH constituted pools V and VI which exhibited similar antibacterial activities against all the bacteria tested (Table 3). In the antifungal tests (Table 4), pool II weakly inhibited the growth of T. mentagrophytes and C. albicans with values of 6±10 and 4±0.2, respectively. No activity was observed with this pool for M. gypseum. Pools III and IV both from CH2Cl2 extract moderately inhibited the growth of C. albicans and T. mentagrophytes but failed to show any activity against M. gypseum. Similarly, fairly strong inhibitions were experienced with pools V and VI against C. albicans and T. mentagrophytes, however against M. gypseum the pools showed moderate activities. The two pools which were from MeOH extracts were better fungal growth inhibitors than those from CH2Cl2 extract. In the determination of MIC (Table 5), out of the fourteen compounds isolated from C. floribunda only four showed activities against the tested pathogens. Although, pool II showed weak activities against S. aureus, C. albicans and T. mentagrophytes, these activities were not observed with the pure compounds 4 and 9 isolated from this pool. Compounds 2 from MeOH extract showed MIC value of 50µg/ml against both S. pneumoniae and S. aureus while for E.coli it gave a value > 100 µg/ml. Similarly the compound exhibited MIC value of 25 µg/ml for C. albicans but values of 50 and 100 µg/ml were observed for T. mentagrophytes and M. gypseum, respectively. On the other hand, compound 3 inhibited the growth of both S. pneumoniae and S. aureus by showing a MIC value 50 µg/ml while the value for E. coli was 100 µg/ml. The same compound gave MIC value of 25 µg/ml against C. albicans and 50 µg/ml for both T. mentagrophytes and M. gypseum. The other compound that showed slight activity was 7 which gave MIC value of 100 µg/ml against both S. aureus and S. pneumoniae and almost no activity against E. coli (>200 µg/ml). Similarly, the same compound was observed to be active against C. albicans and T. mentagrophytes with MIC value of 100 µg/ml but not active against M. gypseum. Compound 10 isolated from CH2Cl2 showed MIC value of 100 µg/ml for both S. pneumoniae and S. aureus and greater than 200 µg/ml for E. coli. In the antifungal tests, it was found to be active against C. albicans with MIC value of 50µg/ml and moderately active against T. mentagrophytes (100 µg/ml) but inactive against M. gypseum.

Discussion and Conclusion.

The study showed that extracts from C. floribunda have antifungal and antibacterial activities and this is probably why the plant is widely used in traditional medicine. The extracts from the plant have broad spectrum activity since they are effective against both gram positive and gram negative bacteria. The extracts were also active against dermatophytic fungi, T. mentagrophytes and M. gypseum. This observation is of particular interest since many Kenyan traditional healers use the plant for treating ringworm, a type of infection caused by the two fungi above. The extracts were also found to be active against C. albicans, a ubiquitous fungi associated with the pathogenesis of urinary tract infections and oral thrush [15,16]. Methanol extract exhibited higher activity compared to dichloromethane and this could be attributed to the fact that antibacterial and antifungal compounds in C. floribunda are polar compounds which could be extracted with polar solvents such as methanol and water. The antibacterial and antifungal principles from C. floribunda were identified as (24S)-ethylcholesta-5,22E, 25-trien-3-O-glucopyranoside (2), cyasterone (3), 3-oxofriedooleanane (7) and betulinic acid (10). Compounds 2 and 3 both from MeOH extract showed both antibacterial and antifungal activities and were more effective than the latter two. This observation suggests that the antifungal principles in the plant have broad spectrum antifungal activities. The extracts and pure compounds from the plant were...
however less active compared to ofloxacin, chlorophenicol and streptomycin which are known antibacterial compounds. Similarly for the antifungal tests, the extracts and pure compounds were less effective compared with known antifungal drugs such as amphotericin B, micanazole and fluconazole.
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Illustrations

Illustration 1

Table 1. Antibacterial activity of C. floribunda CH2Cl2 and MeOH extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>CH2Cl2</th>
<th>MeOH</th>
<th>oflaxacin</th>
<th>chlorophenicol</th>
<th>streptomycin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. pneumoniae</td>
<td>14±0.2</td>
<td>16±0.3</td>
<td>25±0.1</td>
<td>27±0.1</td>
<td>22±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>12±0.6</td>
<td>16±0.4</td>
<td>28±0.5</td>
<td>28±0.4</td>
<td>20±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>8±0.8</td>
<td>12±0.5</td>
<td>25±0.16</td>
<td>19±0.5</td>
<td>0±0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Illustration 2

Table 2. Antifungal activity of C. floribunda CH2Cl2 and MeOH extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone of growth inhibition in mm (mean + SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. mentagrophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. gypseum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Illustration 3

Table 3. Antibacterial activity of C. floribunda CH2Cl2 and MeOH extract fractions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>CH2Cl2 fractions</th>
<th>MeOH fractions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pool I</td>
<td>Pool II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. pneumoniae</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td>0±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td>4±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td>0±0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Illustration 4

Table 4. Antifungal activities of C. floribunda CH2Cl2 and MeOH fractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungi</th>
<th>Pool I</th>
<th>Pool II</th>
<th>Pool III</th>
<th>Pool IV</th>
<th>Pool V</th>
<th>Pool VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td>4±0.2</td>
<td>12±0.3</td>
<td>14±0.1</td>
<td>16±0.2</td>
<td>16±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. mentagrophytes</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td>6±1.0</td>
<td>12±0.6</td>
<td>12±0.3</td>
<td>14±0.1</td>
<td>14±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. gypseum</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td>14±1.0</td>
<td>12±1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Illustration 5

Table 5. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC, µg/ml) of pure compounds and standard antibiotics.

| compound/antibiotic | Bacteria |  |  | Fungi |  |  |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                     | S. pneumoniae | S. aureus | E. coli | C. albicans | T. mentagrophytes | M. gypseum |
| 2                   | 50        | 50             | >100    | 25             | 50             | 100  |
| 3                   | 50        | 50             | 100     | 25             | 50             | 50   |
| 7                   | 100       | 100            | >200    | 100            | 100            | >200 |
| 10                  | 100       | 100            | >200    | 50             | 100            | 100  |
| chlorophenicol      | 6.25      | 3              | 1.5     | ND             | ND             | ND   |
| ofloxacin           | 6.25      | 6.25           | 3       | ND             | ND             | ND   |
| streptomycin        | 12.5      | 6.25           | ND      | ND             | ND             | ND   |
| micanazole          | ND        | ND             | ND      | 6.25           | 6.25           | 3    |
| amphotericin B      | ND        | ND             | ND      | 6.25           | 3              | 6.25 |
| fluconazole         | ND        | ND             | ND      | 12.5           | 12.5           | 6.25 |
Disclaimer

This article has been downloaded from WebmedCentral. With our unique author driven post publication peer review, contents posted on this web portal do not undergo any prepublication peer or editorial review. It is completely the responsibility of the authors to ensure not only scientific and ethical standards of the manuscript but also its grammatical accuracy. Authors must ensure that they obtain all the necessary permissions before submitting any information that requires obtaining a consent or approval from a third party. Authors should also ensure not to submit any information which they do not have the copyright of or of which they have transferred the copyrights to a third party.

Contents on WebmedCentral are purely for biomedical researchers and scientists. They are not meant to cater to the needs of an individual patient. The web portal or any content(s) therein is neither designed to support, nor replace, the relationship that exists between a patient/site visitor and his/her physician. Your use of the WebmedCentral site and its contents is entirely at your own risk. We do not take any responsibility for any harm that you may suffer or inflict on a third person by following the contents of this website.