



Bio-terrorism On Six Legs: Insect Vectors Are The Major Threat To Global Health Security

Author(s):Dr. Manas Sarkar

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Manas Sarkar,
Deputy Assistant Director, Centre for Medical Entomology & Vector Management, National Centre for Disease Control, National Centre for Disease Control, 110054 - India

Submitting Author:

Dr. Manas S,
Deputy Assistant Director, Centre for Medical Entomology & Vector Management, National Centre for Disease Control, National Centre for Disease Control, 110054 - India

Article ID: WMC001282

Article Type: My opinion

Submitted on:04-Dec-2010, 06:46:01 PM GMT **Published on:** 07-Dec-2010, 09:28:50 PM GMT

Article URL: article_view/1282

Subject Categories:PUBLIC HEALTH

Keywords:Bio-terrorism, Bio-weapon, Entomology, Insect; Mosquito, Vector-borne diseases

How to cite the article:Sarkar M . Bio-terrorism On Six Legs: Insect Vectors Are The Major Threat To Global Health Security . WebmedCentral PUBLIC HEALTH 2010;1(12):WMC001282

Source(s) of Funding:

Not Applicable

Competing Interests:

None

Bio-terrorism On Six Legs: Insect Vectors Are The Major Threat To Global Health Security

My opinion

Most people are only concerned with eradicating arthropod vectors, because they see them as a nuisance or only as a causative agent of many deadly diseases. The problem is many individuals do not realize there are many more issues than just killing vectors. One major concern for vector biology research and controls nationwide is the threat of bio-terrorism. One of the cheapest and most destructive weapons available to terrorists today is also one of the most widely ignored insect vectors. These biological warfare agents are easy to sneak across borders, reproduce quickly, and spread disease in an indefatigable march. Indeed, a great strategic lesson of 9/11 in America has been overlooked. Actually, terrorists need only a little cleverness, not sophisticated weapons, to cause enormous damage. In world's biggest terror attack in America, terrorists, armed only with box cutters, hijacked planes and brought down the towers of the World Trade Center. Insects are the box cutters of biological warfare – cheap, simple, and wickedly effective. The main aim and objective of this opinion piece is to discuss the recent concern over the use of insects as bio-weapon, which is a major issue of health security worldwide. We also like to draw the attention of the world scientific leaders towards this potential health hazards and focus future research priorities.

Arthropod as vectors of diseases

Entomological warfare can be induced by employing insects for direct attacks against humans, as vectors for transmitting infectious diseases. Arthropod vectors transmit many new and reemerging diseases. Mosquitoes transmit malaria [1, 2], dengue-dengue hemorrhagic fever [3, 4, 5], yellow fever [6], Venezuelan equine encephalitis [3, 7], and filariasis [8]; sand flies transmit leishmaniasis [7]; ticks transmit Lyme disease and ehrlichiosis [9, 10]; fleas and lice transmit Bartonella [11]; and fleas, lice, and ticks transmit various rickettsioses [12]. Vector borne diseases causes extensive morbidity and mortality and are a major economic burden within disease endemic

countries [13, 14].

Use of arthropod as bio weapon: history

Lockwood [15] discussed the devastating military impact of vector-borne diseases such as plague (carried by fleas), yellow fever and malaria (mosquitoes), typhus (lice), Q fever (ticks), and dysentery (flies) in conflicts from the Napoleonic campaigns through World War I. Until the modern era, far more soldiers died from diseases spread by insects than from bullets or artillery fire. Insects have carried disease onto the battlefield for more than two thousand years. Using creature like arthropods in warfare is not new. Armies also made deliberate use of vector-borne pathogens as weapons of war, a practice that reached its dark apotheosis during the late 1930s in Imperial Japan. Lockwood [15] in his book *Six-Legged Soldiers: Using Insects as Weapons of War* described that General Ishii Shiro established a top-secret biological warfare program in occupied Manchuria, where Japanese scientists cultivated billions of fleas infected with *Yersinia pestis*, the bacterium that causes plague, and loaded them into porcelain bombs for attacks on Chinese cities.

Knowhow of entomological weapons

While all quit possible, it is not exactly easy to use insect vectors for bioterrorism; especially, it is labor-intensive to produce infected insects. However, transovarial transmission occurs in certain arthropod vectors as they transmit disease-causing bacteria/viruses from parent arthropod to offspring arthropod. For instance, *Rickettsia rickettsii*, carried within ticks, dengue virus carried within *Aedes* mosquitoes are passed on from parent to offspring by transovarial transmission. These types of viruses/bacteria are easy to rear within arthropod vectors and easy to infect a large colony of arthropod within the laboratory. During dry periods, mosquito eggs can survive for months or years, with the dengue and/or Rift Valley fever virus biding its time along with the insect embryo and on set of rain, the infected eggs hatch, and within days, a swarm of hungry, disease-carrying adults begins to search for blood. The infected females pass the virus through their eggs into the next generation (i.e., transovarial transmission) and the cycle is complete. With the enormous

reproductive potential of the virus and its vectors, disease spreads like living wildfire. Moreover, millions of infected eggs from arthropod vectors where transovarial transmission of diseases pathogens occurred could be collected from a base laboratory and exported across the countries. For example, transovarian transmission of dengue virus was demonstrated for *Aedes* mosquitoes [16], which laid eggs on wet towels or strips of wet papers in the laboratory and the eggs remain viable for years. Moreover, a strip of papers can contain billions of eggs, which can easily be transported from one place to other.

Without the scientific and technical support of a military industrial complex, terrorists may be unable to culture and formulate pathogenic organisms into effective weapon systems. Lockwood [15] described insects as a low-tech, "safe, and effective" alternative weapon: they are easily collected or reared, robust to environmental adversity, and able to disperse on their own. Although, insects or arthropods are slow-acting bioterrorism agents, but it belongs to a low-cost, high-impact operations that takes time to unfold. In 1983, the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) published a meticulous analysis of the most likely pathogens to be developed as biological weapons (Geissler, *A New Generation of Biological Weapons*) [15]. Of the 22 prime candidates, half were arthropod-borne viruses. A similar study in 2000 by the World Organization for Animal Health generated a watch-and-worry roster of livestock diseases, and six of the 15 A-list diseases were carried by insects [15].

Most people are only concerned with eradicating arthropod vectors, because they see them as a nuisance or only as a causative agent of many deadly diseases. The problem is many individuals do not realize there are many more issues than just killing vectors. One major concern for vector biology research and controls nationwide is the threat of bio-terrorism. One of the cheapest and most destructive weapons available to terrorists today is also one of the most widely ignored insect vectors. These biological warfare agents are easy to sneak across borders, reproduce quickly, and spread disease in an indefatigable march. Indeed, a great strategic lesson of 9/11 in America has been overlooked. Actually, terrorists need only a little cleverness, not sophisticated weapons, to cause enormous damage. In world's biggest terror attack in America, terrorists, armed only with box cutters, hijacked planes and brought down the towers of the World Trade Center. Insects are the box cutters of biological warfare – cheap, simple, and wickedly

effective. The main aim and objective of this opinion piece is to discuss the recent concern over the use of insects as bio-weapon, which is a major issue of health security worldwide. We also like to draw the attention of the world scientific leaders towards this potential health hazards and focus future research priorities.

Arthropod as vectors of diseases

Entomological warfare can be induced by employing insects for direct attacks against humans, as vectors for transmitting infectious diseases. Arthropod vectors transmit many new and reemerging diseases. Mosquitoes transmit malaria [1, 2], dengue-dengue hemorrhagic fever [3, 4, 5], yellow fever [6], Venezuelan equine encephalitis [3, 7], and filariasis [8]; sand flies transmit leishmaniasis [7]; ticks transmit Lyme disease and ehrlichiosis [9, 10]; fleas and lice transmit Bartonella [11]; and fleas, lice, and ticks transmit various rickettsioses [12]. Vector borne diseases causes extensive morbidity and mortality and are a major economic burden within disease endemic countries [13, 14].

Use of arthropod as bio weapon: history

Lockwood [15] discussed the devastating military impact of vector-borne diseases such as plague (carried by fleas), yellow fever and malaria (mosquitoes), typhus (lice), Q fever (ticks), and dysentery (flies) in conflicts from the Napoleonic campaigns through World War I. Until the modern era, far more soldiers died from diseases spread by insects than from bullets or artillery fire. Insects have carried disease onto the battlefield for more than two thousand years. Using creature like arthropods in warfare is not new. Armies also made deliberate use of vector-borne pathogens as weapons of war, a practice that reached its dark apotheosis during the late 1930s in Imperial Japan. Lockwood [15] in his book *Six-Legged Soldiers: Using Insects as Weapons of War* described that General Ishii Shiro established a top-secret biological warfare program in occupied Manchuria, where Japanese scientists cultivated billions of fleas infected with *Yersinia pestis*, the bacterium that causes plague, and loaded them into porcelain bombs for attacks on Chinese cities.

Knowhow of entomological weapons

While all quit possible, it is not exactly easy to use insect vectors for bioterrorism; especially, it is labor-intensive to produce infected insects. However, transovarial transmission occurs in certain arthropod vectors as they transmit disease-causing bacteria/viruses from parent arthropod to offspring arthropod. For instance, *Rickettsia rickettsii*, carried within ticks, dengue virus carried within *Aedes* mosquitoes are passed on from parent to offspring by

transovarial transmission. These types of viruses/bacteria are easy to rear within arthropod vectors and easy to infect a large colony of arthropod within the laboratory. During dry periods, mosquito eggs can survive for months or years, with the dengue and/or Rift Valley fever virus biding its time along with the insect embryo and on set of rain, the infected eggs hatch, and within days, a swarm of hungry, disease-carrying adults begins to search for blood. The infected females pass the virus through their eggs into the next generation (i.e., transovarial transmission) and the cycle is complete. With the enormous reproductive potential of the virus and its vectors, disease spreads like living wildfire. Moreover, millions of infected eggs from arthropod vectors where transovarial transmission of diseases pathogens occurred could be collected from a base laboratory and exported across the countries. For example, transovarial transmission of dengue virus was demonstrated for *Aedes* mosquitoes [16], which laid eggs on wet towels or strips of wet papers in the laboratory and the eggs remain viable for years. Moreover, a strip of papers can contain billions of eggs, which can easily be transported from one place to other.

Conclusion

Therefore, it is very important to identify arthropod borne animals and human diseases, which are non-indigenous or foreign, which, if introduced into any nation, would adversely affect the livestock industry and human health. Thus, we recommend careful invigilation of the international borders, airports, and seaports by the trained scientists to identify any accidental and/or deliberate import of alien arthropod vectors. Therefore, it is well advised to take seriously the possibility that arthropod could be used to attack people. Moreover, future research priorities should also include high-throughput molecular diagnostics of diseases, identification of vectors, phylogenetic studies to understand the origin and distribution of the pathogen and vector strains. A rapid action team of trained scientist and health workers equipped with modern sophisticated diagnostic tools and suitable vector extinguishers should be appointed by the state and/or central health authorities to counter act any such emergency.

Reference(s)

1. Krogstad DJ. Malaria as a reemerging disease. *Epidemiology Rev* 1996; 18: 77-89.
2. Roberts DR, Laughlin LL, Hsueh P, Legters LJ. DDT, global strategies, and a malaria control crisis in South America. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1997; 3: 295-302.
3. Brandling-Bennett AD, Pinheiro F. Infectious diseases in Latin America and the Caribbean: are they really emerging and increasing? *Emerg Infect Dis* 1996; 2: 59-61.
4. Gubler, DJ, Clark GG. Dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever: the emergence of a global health problem. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1995; 1: 55-57.
5. Briseno-Garcia B, Gomez-Dantes H, Argott-Ramirez E, Montesano R, Vazquez-Martinez AL, Ibanez-Bernal S. et al. Potential risk for dengue hemorrhagic fever: the isolation of serotype dengue-3 in Mexico. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1996; 2: 133-135.
6. Sanders EJ, Borus P, Ademba G, Kuria G, Tukey PM, LeDuc JW. Sentinel surveillance for yellow fever in Kenya, 1993 to 1995. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1996; 2: 236-238.
7. Meslin FX. Global aspects of emerging and potential zoonoses: a WHO perspective. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1997; 3: 223-8.
8. Thompson DF, Malone JB, Harb M, Faris R, Huh OK, Buck AA, et al. Bancroftian filariasis distribution and diurnal temperature differences in the Southern Nile Delta. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1996; 2: 234-235.
9. Daniels TJ, Falco RC, Schwartz I, Varde S, Robbins RG. Deer ticks (*Ixodes scapularis*) and the agents of Lyme disease and human granulocytic ehrlichiosis in a New York City park. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1997; 3: 353-355.
10. Walker DH, Dumler JS. Emergence of erlichiosis as human health problem. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1996; 2: 18-29.
11. Jackson LA, Spach DH. Emergence of Bartonella quintana infection among homeless persons. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1996; 2: 141-143.
12. Azad AF, Radulovic S, Higgins JA, Noden BH, Troyer JM. Flea-borne rickettsioses: ecologic considerations. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1997; 3: 319-327.
13. Sachs J, Malaney P. The economic and social burden of malaria. *Nature* 2002; 415: 680-685
14. Boutayeb A. The double burden of communicable and non-communicable diseases in developing countries. *Trans Royal Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2006; 100: 191-199
15. Lockwood JA. Six-Legged Soldiers: Using Insects as Weapons of War. Oxford University Press, Inc., New York, 2009, pp 400.
16. Joshi V, Mourya DT, Sharma RC. Persistence of Dengue-3 virus through transovarial transmission passage in successive generations of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2002; 67(2): 158-161.

Reviews

Review 1

Review Title: [Provocative](#)

Posted by [Ms. Simrat Kaur](#) on 22 Jan 2011 01:56:20 PM GMT

1	Is the subject of the article within the scope of the subject category?	Yes
2	Are the interpretations / conclusions sound and justified by the data?	Partly
3	Is this a new and original contribution?	Yes
4	Does this paper exemplify an awareness of other research on the topic?	Yes
5	Are structure and length satisfactory?	Yes
6	Can you suggest brief additions or amendments or an introductory statement that will increase the value of this paper for an international audience?	Yes
7	Can you suggest any reductions in the paper, or deletions of parts?	No
8	Is the quality of the diction satisfactory?	Yes
9	Are the illustrations and tables necessary and acceptable?	No
10	Are the references adequate and are they all necessary?	Yes
11	Are the keywords and abstract or summary informative?	Yes

Rating: 8

Comment:

What is the state-of-art molecular diagnosis and rapid identification protocols for different vector strains. What are vector extinguishers? The article would be more informative if author can mention about countries that are more prone to such kind of bioterrorism attacks based on the phylogenetic distribution of various vector strains.

Competing interests: None

Invited by the author to make a review on this article? : Yes

Experience and credentials in the specific area of science:

Nil

Publications in the same or a related area of science: No

How to cite: Kaur S.Provocative[Review of the article 'Bio-terrorism On Six Legs: Insect Vectors Are The Major Threat To Global Health Security ' by].WebmedCentral 2011;2(1):WMCRW00400

Review 2

Review Title: Excellent article: self review

Posted by Dr. Manas Sarkar on 11 Dec 2010 12:13:38 PM GMT

1	Is the subject of the article within the scope of the subject category?	Yes
2	Are the interpretations / conclusions sound and justified by the data?	Yes
3	Is this a new and original contribution?	No
4	Does this paper exemplify an awareness of other research on the topic?	Yes
5	Are structure and length satisfactory?	Yes
6	Can you suggest brief additions or amendments or an introductory statement that will increase the value of this paper for an international audience?	No
7	Can you suggest any reductions in the paper, or deletions of parts?	No
8	Is the quality of the diction satisfactory?	Yes
9	Are the illustrations and tables necessary and acceptable?	No
10	Are the references adequate and are they all necessary?	Yes
11	Are the keywords and abstract or summary informative?	Yes

Rating: 9

Comment:

This is an Opinion and not necessarily a primary research article. Therefore, it is not an original research contribution. However, the ideas & opinions are new.

Article does not contain any table/illustration, therefore, Sl. No. 9 is N/A.

Competing interests: None

Invited by the author to make a review on this article? : No

Experience and credentials in the specific area of science:

I've six years of experience in thsi field

Publications in the same or a related area of science: No

How to cite: Sarkar M.Excellent article: self review [Review of the article 'Bio-terrorism On Six Legs: Insect Vectors Are The Major Threat To Global Health Security ' by].WebmedCentral 2011;1(12):WMCRW00227

Disclaimer

This article has been downloaded from WebmedCentral. With our unique author driven post publication peer review, contents posted on this web portal do not undergo any prepublication peer or editorial review. It is completely the responsibility of the authors to ensure not only scientific and ethical standards of the manuscript but also its grammatical accuracy. Authors must ensure that they obtain all the necessary permissions before submitting any information that requires obtaining a consent or approval from a third party. Authors should also ensure not to submit any information which they do not have the copyright of or of which they have transferred the copyrights to a third party.

Contents on WebmedCentral are purely for biomedical researchers and scientists. They are not meant to cater to the needs of an individual patient. The web portal or any content(s) therein is neither designed to support, nor replace, the relationship that exists between a patient/site visitor and his/her physician. Your use of the WebmedCentral site and its contents is entirely at your own risk. We do not take any responsibility for any harm that you may suffer or inflict on a third person by following the contents of this website.